



**Land Use, Economic Development and
Municipal Impact Task Force**

Minutes

January 7, 2008

8:30 – 10:30 am

Grayslake Village Hall – Community Room

2nd Floor

10 S. Seymour Avenue, Grayslake

1.0 Roll Call

- Sandquist, Dennis, Chair (County of Lake)
- Barrera, Victor (Village of Mundelein)
- Kraly, Russell (Village of Hainesville)
- Maiden, Al (Village of Round Lake)
- Reindl, John (Public Member)
- Rowe, Heather (Village of Libertyville)
- Smith, Kirk (Village of Grayslake)
- Ziegler, David (Village of Gurnee)

Staff

- Young, David (LCP)
- Whitmer, William (LCP)
- Husemoller, David (LCDPBD)
- Gleason, Chuck (LCDOT – IL Route 120 CPC Project Manager)

Consultants

- Jeff Hall, TranSystems
- John LaMotte, Lakota Group (with staff)

Public

- Mike Sands, Liberty Prairie Foundation
- Paula Trigg, Lake County Division of Transportation
- Mick Zawislak, Daily Herald

2.0 Meeting Minutes Approval

Motion: To approve the minutes of July 2, 2007

Motion By: David Ziegler

Seconded By: Al Maiden

Discussion: None

Motion Passed: Unanimous

3.0 Lakota Group Presentation

3.1 Participant Introductions Jeff Hall, TranSystems, introduced John LaMotte and Lakota Group staff members.

3.2 Meeting Introduction John LaMotte indicated that the Lakota Group had toured communities along the Route 120 Planning Area with Chairman Sandquist and Lake County Planning Staff. Mr. LaMotte indicated that Lakota was ready for a Route 120 Land Use Workshop, schedule to be determined by the Land Use Task Force.

Meeting Purpose/Format: Mr. LaMotte and Lakota staff displayed “existing land use”, “future land use” and “development opportunity/committed project” Route 120 corridor maps, with references to existing Route 120 segment design features and road conditions.

Planning Process Update: John LaMotte distributed a 5-page Land Use Assessment Update document generated by Lakota staff (see attached).

3.3 Corridor Overview

Mr. LaMotte took the Task Force through the Route 120 Corridor from west to east using the aforementioned Land Use Assessment Update document, addressing **Existing/Committed Land Use Changes** and **Development Context**.

3.4 Area Analysis (by Sub-Area) Community by community, Mr. LaMotte and Lakota staff addressed

- Data Needs/Questions
- Existing/Committed Land Use
- Development Context
- Future Land Use (as provided by County/Communities), and
- Lakota's Initial Observations

(See attached).

3.5 Task Force Discussion

The Task Force discussed various sites brought up in the “development opportunity” map, including redevelopment opportunity sites in Waukegan, Shops of Lakemoor site, Prairie Crossing development updates, Central Range development site, Fairgrounds development site, Peterson Road development, etc.

The Task Force discussed Lakota's remaining data needs and the appropriate resources to answer those needs. Al Maiden indicated that he would get requested Volo and Hainesville information for Lakota. Kirk Smith agreed to get Prairie Crossing update info to Lakota, and ascertained that Lakota already had Fairground development info. County Planning staff also agreed to assist in gathering information. It was agreed that responsible Task Force Members would forward requested information to Chairman Sandquist, who would then forward all information and materials to the Lakota Group /TranSystems team.

3.6 Next Steps in Process

Lakota summarized the next steps in the Land Use Planning effort for the Corridor (see document).

March/April Workshop

- Land use/development concepts per roadway option - John LaMotte indicated that the meeting's purpose would be to further discuss land use plan details in the corridor, coordinating them with roadway option design possibilities.

June/July Workshop

- Traffic projections with refined roadway options & refined land use concepts - Jeff Hall said that this public meeting's purpose was to discuss roadway design details based on traffic data and land use assumptions from the earlier workshop.

Final Plan/Strategy (timing to be determined)

The Task Force decided that the evening of Wednesday, March 12, 2008, would be a good schedule for the proposed March/April land use workshop. The Task Force agreed on the importance to market the date of the meeting to appropriate corridor community officials, elected and planning related staff, to ascertain attendance. Chuck Gleason indicated that he would research proper locations for the workshop, which require general meeting space and break-out rooms for individual communities.

4.0 Staff Report – Chuck Gleason, Project Manager/ Jeffrey R. Hall, TranSystems

Chuck Gleason told the Task Force that TranSystems has traffic count info on the Route 120 Corridor. Jeff Hall said that TranSystems is currently working with CMAP on four traffic models:

- A No Build Model
- A model of Route 120 By-Pass without a Route 53 Extension
- A model of Route 120 By-Pass with a Route 53 Extension
- A model of a Route 120 By-Pass with Route 53 arterial improvements only

Route 120 Sub-regional traffic models will then be further defined by TranSystems based on: expressway, arterial with intersections, and roundabout scenarios.

Route 120 CPC Technical Task force is scheduled to meet January 20, 2008, with a purpose of finalizing a "purpose and needs statement" for the review and approval of the Route 120 CPC Governance Board, at their February 13, 2008 meeting. Jeff Hall of TranSystems, stated that the Technical Task Force "needs statement" will be based on east-west Lake County commuter traffic statistics and the insufficiency of current commute capacity and options, which also have a negative effect on commuter safety. The "purpose" will then be to solve those issues.

Mr. Gleason said that the Route 120 Public Information Task force is working with Lake County Partners to inform the public about the efforts of the progress of the Route 120 Corridor Planning Council.

5.0 Old Business

6.0 New Business

7.0 Public Comment

Chairman Sandquist asked for the next Route 120 CPC Land Use Task Force agenda, that public comments occur once at the beginning of the agenda and again at the end.

Chairman Sandquist called for public comment, pertaining to the items discussed by the Taskforce today - None.

8.0 Adjourn

Motion: To Adjourn

Motion By: Heather Rowe

Seconded By: David Ziegler
Discussion: None
Motion Passed: Unanimous